viously postulated for reaction 1 or with $\{CH_3COMn-(CO)_4\}_B$, if rearrangement has occurred. In the first case cis-CH₃COMn(CO)₄P(C₆H₅)₃ would be formed initially whereas $\{CH_3COMn(CO)_4\}_B$ would lead to the equilibrium mixture of the two isomers. The choice will depend on the energy required to rearrange $\{CH_3COMn(CO)_4\}_A$ relative to that required to form it. As mentioned earlier, this point could not be ascertained because of an unfavorable kinetic situation in benzene- d_6 .

The proposed mechanism for reaction 2 is in agreement with the previously reported⁶ experiment with (+)-C₆H₅CH₂CH(CH₈)COMn(CO)₅ showing retention of sign of rotation during its reaction with I⁻ in 2,2'-diethoxydiethyl ether. The alternative explanation⁶ of a stereospecific slow preequilibrium of RCOMn-(CO)₅ to RMn(CO)₅ must be ruled out on the basis of the present data.

No important isomerizations, with consequent statistical redistribution of ¹³CO, were observed,⁵ within the time of the experiment, during the reaction of CH₃Mn-(CO)₅ with ¹³CO, whereas isomerization occurs with CH₃COMn(CO)₄P(C₆H₅)₃. This can be explained on kinetic terms by considering that CH₃COMn(CO)₅ can exchange its CO groups with CO in the gas phase only *via* decarbonylation to CH₃Mn(CO)₅ or, in other words, through the intermediate {CH₃COMn(CO)₄}_A (*k* ranging from 2.46 × 10⁻⁵ to 1.99 × 10⁻⁶ sec⁻¹ at 30° depending on the solvent⁷). The decarbonylation of CH₃COMn(CO)₅ is therefore a slow process compared to its formation from CH₃Mn(CO)₅. On the other hand, the initially formed *cis*-CH₃COMn(CO)₄P(C₆H₅)₃ reverts to the *trans* isomer at a rate which is relatively fast ($k = 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \sec^{-1} at 30^\circ$ in acetone).

We can conclude by saying that the experimental facts contained in this paper and probably most of those reported in previous papers^{1-3,5-7} can be explained by assuming the *preliminary* formation of intermediates obtained from changes taking place at a position *cis* with respect to the alkyl or the acyl group.

The Crystal and Molecular Structure of Dimeric Azulenetricarbonylmethylmolybdenum

BY PETER H. BIRD AND MELVYN R. CHURCHILL

Received August 11, 1967

Dimeric azulenetricarbonylmethylmolybdenum, $[C_{10}H_8Mo(CO)_8CH_3]_2$, crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$ (C₁¹; No. 2) with cell parameters $a = 10.02 \pm 0.02 \text{ Å}$, $b = 8.36 \pm 0.02 \text{ Å}$, $c = 8.19 \pm 0.02 \text{ Å}$, $\alpha = 107.3 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$, $\beta = 89.3 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 100.4 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$, Z = 1. Observed and calculated densities are, respectively, 1.68 ± 0.02 and 1.667 g cm⁻³. A single-crystal X-ray structural analysis, based on complete three-dimensional data (sin $\theta_{max} = 0.38$, Mo K α radiation) collected with a Buerger automated diffractometer, has been completed. Patterson, Fourier, and least-squares refinement techniques have led to the accurate location of all atoms other than the methyl hydrogens. The final discrepancy index, R_F , is 5.82% for 1438 independent nonzero reflections. The crystal consists of discrete molecular units of $[C_{10}H_8Mo(CO)_8-CH_3]_2$ separated by normal van der Waals forces. The molecule possesses a crystallographic center of symmetry, two azulene residues being linked via ortho-ortho (4,4') carbon-carbon coupling. An Mo(CO)₃CH₃ moiety is bonded to each five-membered ring of the resulting diazulene ligand. The Mo-CH₃ bond length is 2.383 ± 0.010 Å and the mean Mo-CO distance is 1.996 ± 0.012 Å.

Introduction

Although azulene-metal-carbonyl complexes have been known for some time, $^{1-5}$ only recently have the structures of any of these complexes been unambiguously established. Crystallographic studies of azulenediiron pentacarbonyl^{6,7} (I) and azulenedimolybdenum hexacarbonyl^{8,9} (II) show them to have a metal atom

(7) M. R. Churchill, Inorg. Chem., 6, 190 (1967).

(9) J. S. McKechnie and I. C. Paul, *ibid.*, 747 (1967).



associated with each ring. There are, however, some azulene-metal-carbonyl complexes in which the azulene-to-metal ratio is 1:1, viz, $[C_{10}H_8V(CO)_4]_2$,⁴ $[C_{10}H_8-CO)_4]_2$,⁴ $[C_{10}H_8-CO)_4$,⁴ $[C_{10}H_8-CO)_4$,⁴ $[C_{10}H_8-CO)_4$,⁴ $[C_{10}H_8-CO)_4]_2$,⁴ $[C_{10}H_8-CO)_4$,⁴ $[C_{10}H_8-CO)_4$,⁴ $[C_{10}H_8-CO)_4]_2$,⁴ $[C_{10}H_8-CO)_4$,⁴ $[C_{10}H_8-$

Contribution from the Mallinckrodt Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

R. Burton and G. Wilkinson, *Chem. Ind.* (London), 1205 (1958).
 R. Burton, M. L. H. Green, E. W. Abel, and G. Wilkinson, *ibid.*, 1592

<sup>(1958).
(3)</sup> R. Burton, L. Pratt, and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., 4290 (1960).

 ⁽⁴⁾ E. O. Fischer, Abstracts, Organometallic Chemical Symposium, Cincinnati, Ohio, June 1963, p 66.

⁽⁵⁾ R. B. King and M. B. Bisnette, Inorg. Chem., 4, 475 (1965).

⁽⁶⁾ M. R. Churchill, Chem. Commun., 450 (1966).

⁽⁸⁾ M. R. Churchill and P. H. Bird, Chem. Commun., 746 (1967).

 $Mn(CO)_3]_{2,3}^3$ and $[C_{10}H_8Mo(CO)_3CH_3]_{2,5}^5$ A number of different formulations for these species have been suggested^{3,5,10} the most reasonable of which⁵ requires that the five-membered rings behave as π -cyclopentadienyl systems in linking to the metal atoms and that dimerization occurs *via* a carbon–carbon bond between the seven-membered rings of two azulene systems.

A crystallographic analysis of $[C_{10}H_8Mo(CO)_3-CH_3]_2$ has been undertaken in order to obtain unambiguous information on the nature of the azulenemolybdenum bonding and to determine the stereochemistry of the carbon-carbon coupling in this complex. A preliminary account of this work has been published.¹¹

Collection and Reduction of X-Ray Diffraction Data

Crystals of $[C_{10}H_8Mo(CO)_3CH_3]_2$ were prepared from azulenedimolybdenum hexacarbonyl following the method of King and Bisnette.⁵ Optical examination and preliminary X-ray diffraction photographs indicated that the crystals were triclinic. A careful survey of 0kl Weissenberg and hk0, hk1, h0l, h1l precession photographs (all taken with Mo K α radiation) revealed no systematic absences nor any diffraction symmetry higher than $C_i(\overline{1})$; the crystals were therefore assumed to be truly triclinic.

Unit-cell parameters, obtained from calibrated $(a_{\text{NaCl}} = 5.640 \text{ Å})$ precession photographs taken with Mo K α radiation ($\bar{\lambda}$ 0.7107 Å) at 24 \pm 2°, are: a = 10.02 ± 0.02 Å, $b = 8.36 \pm 0.02$ Å, $c = 8.19 \pm 0.02$ Å, $\alpha = 107.3 \pm 0.2^{\circ}, \beta = 89.3 \pm 0.2^{\circ}, \gamma = 100.4 \pm 0.2^{\circ}.$ [These "experimental" cell constants are used throughout this paper. The corresponding reduced cell, obtained by a Delaunay reduction, is defined by a' = $a = 10.02 \pm 0.02$ Å, $b' = [011] = 9.81 \pm 0.02$ Å, c' = $-c = 8.19 \pm 0.02$ Å, $\alpha' = 125.6 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$, $\beta' = 90.7 \pm$ 0.2° , $\gamma = 98.2 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$.] The unit-cell volume is 643.9 Å³. The observed density ($\rho_{obsd} = 1.68 \pm 0.02$ g cm⁻³, by flotation in aqueous zinc iodide solution) is in satisfactory agreement with the value calculated for M = 646.36 and Z = 1 ($\rho_{calcd} = 1.667 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$). Of the possible space groups P1 and P1, the latter was considered the more likely owing to its greater frequency of occurrence¹² and the high probability that the molecule possessed a center of symmetry. The successful solution of the structure proved that the true space group was, indeed, $P\overline{1}$ (C_i¹; No. 2).

The crystal used initially in this analysis (crystal I), an approximately rectangular parallelepiped of dimensions $0.20 \times 0.09 \times 0.04$ mm, was mounted along its extended direction (a) and inserted into a 0.2-mm diameter thin-walled capillary tube.

Data were collected on a 0.01°-incrementing Buerger automated diffractometer, used in conjunction with a fully stabilized Phillips X-ray generator (operated at 47 kV, 17 mA), a Phillips No. 52572 transistorized scintillation counter, and a Phillips No. 12206 electronic circuit panel. The scintillation counter was adjusted to receive Mo K α radiation ($\bar{\lambda}$ 0.7107 Å), the K β component being virtually eliminated by the use of 0.003in. zirconium foil at the X-ray source.

The diffractometer was programmed to collect all data in a given Weissenberg zone, using a "stationary-background, ω -scan, stationary-background" counting sequence. Within a given zone, the stability of the entire system was monitored by remeasuring a carefully preselected check reflection after each batch of 20 reflections had been collected. No significant variations were detected. Throughout the analysis a chart recorder was used to allow inspection of peak profile and centering of the reflection in ϕ and to guard against possible errors that might result from overlap of adjacent reflections.

Previous photographic studies had indicated that the crystal had a normal mosaicity, and the scan range $\omega = (2.0 + (0.8/L))^{\circ}$ was selected [where 1/L is the Lorentz factor¹³].

I(hkl), the intensity of a reflection hkl, having Weissenberg coordinates¹⁴ $\Upsilon_0(hkl)$ and $\Phi_0(hkl)$, was recorded by the following sequence: (i) the counter was positioned to $\Upsilon_0(hkl)$ where it remained during subsequent steps; (ii) the crystal was rotated to the angle ϕ_1 , given by $\phi_1 = \Phi_0(hkl) - (\omega(hkl)/2)$, and the first background (B_1) counted for t seconds; (iii) the crystal was slowly rotated by $\omega(hkl)$ degrees at a rate of 2°/min until it reached the angle ϕ_2 , given by $\phi_2 = \Phi_0(hkl) + (\omega(hkl)/2)$. This ω scan took 4t seconds, the associated count being C; (iv) the second background (B_2) was counted for t seconds at ϕ_2 ; (v) the integrated intensity was calculated as $I(hkl) = C - 2(B_1 + B_2)$.

Equiinclination geometry was used in collecting a total of 1721 reflections (in the zones 0kl through 10kl) from crystal I. [This represents complete data to sin $\theta = 0.38$. Beyond this limit there are a *few* isolated reflections, but extending the limit beyond the present value would result in a very low percentage of additional significant (count/ $3\sigma > 1$) reflections.] To correlate these *a*-axis data, the zones h0l through h4lwere collected from crystal II, a somewhat smaller parallelepiped of dimensions $0.08 \times 0.07 \times 0.03$ mm, which was mounted along its b axis. Data were weighted by the following scheme, where δ is the maximum probable error in a count (= 3σ based on counting statistics): $I(hkl) > 625, \sigma = 0.1[I(hkl)]; 625 >$ $I(hkl) > \delta$, $\sigma = 2.5[I(hkl)]^{1/2}$; $I(hkl) < \delta$, reflection rejected. The linear absorption coefficient μ is 16.6 cm^{-1} for Mo K α radiation. Since variations in transmission factor were estimated to be less than 5%, no absorption corrections were applied. After adjustment for Lorentz and polarization factors $[(LP)^{-1} = 2$ $\cos^2 \bar{\mu} \sin \Upsilon/(1 + \cos^2 2\theta)$, where $\bar{\mu}$ is the equiinclination angle], data were placed on a common scale using a least-squares procedure which minimizes a sum of

⁽¹⁰⁾ M. A. Bennett, Advan. Organometal Chem., 4, 366 (1966).

⁽¹¹⁾ P. H. Bird and M. R. Churchill, Chem. Commun., 705 (1967).

⁽¹²⁾ W. Nowacki, T. Matsumoto, and A. Edenharter, Acta Cryst., 22, 935 (1967); (b) J. D. H. Donnay, G. Donnay, E. G. Cox, O. Kennard, and M. V. King, "Crystal Data, Determinative Tables," American Crystallographic Association, Monograph No. 5, 2nd ed, 1963.

⁽¹³⁾ The term involving the Lorentz factor is included to allow for the divergence of the X-ray beam which results in extended low-order reflections on upper-level data: D. C. Phillips, *Acta Cryst.*, 7, 746 (1954).
(14) C. T. Prewitt, Z. Krist., 13, 355 (1960).

residuals linear in the logarithms of the individual scale factors.¹⁵ From the resulting 1438 independent reflections, a Wilson plot was calculated to determine the approximate absolute scale and the over-all thermal parameter (the value $\bar{B} = 2.73 \text{ Å}^2$ was obtained by this technique).

Solution and Refinement of Structure

The position of the molybdenum atom (x = 0.290, y = 0.177, z = 0.195) was obtained quickly and unambiguously from a three-dimensional Patterson¹⁶ map from which the origin peak had been removed and which had been sharpened so that the average intensity was independent of $\sin \theta$. A three-dimensional "difference" Fourier synthesis phased only by the molybdenum atom $(R_F = 0.29)^{17}$ revealed immediately the positions of all nonhydrogen atoms, indicating that the molecule possessed a center of symmetry at x = 0, y = 0, z = $1/_2$ and justifying the choice of the centrosymmetric space group P1. Five cycles of least-squares refinement, in which positional and individual isotropic thermal parameters were allowed to vary, resulted in the discrepancy index, R_F , converging from an initial value of 0.247 to a final value of 0.089. [The weighted R factor, R_{wF^2} , ¹⁷ had a value of 0.119 at the termination of isotropic refinement.] A difference Fourier synthesis at this stage revealed evidence of anisotropic thermal motion for most atoms and also indicated unambiguously the positions of all hydrogen atoms associated with the diazulene ligand, individual peak heights for these hydrogen atoms varying from 0.5 to 0.8 e Å⁻³. There were no other peaks of comparable height except those representing residual electron density at the known atomic positions of molybdenum, carbon, and oxygen atoms. A complete electron density map showed that peak heights for carbon atoms ranged from 5.1 to 5.7 e Å⁻⁸, and those for oxygen varied from 6.9 to 7.4 e Å⁻³.]

Hydrogen atom contributions were not immediately included but refinement of nonhydrogen atoms was continued using anisotropic temperature factors (T)of the form: $T = \exp[-(b_{11}h^2 + b_{22}k^2 + b_{33}l^2 + b_{12}hk +$ $b_{13}hl + b_{23}kl$]. The discrepancy index converged to a value $R_F = 0.0630$. The eight observed hydrogen atoms were now included in the calculation and both their positions and isotropic thermal parameters were allowed to refine. The result was neither very encouraging, nor was it disastrous; resulting carbon-hydrogen distances varied from 0.7 to 1.3 Å, isotropic thermal parameters varied from 3 to 12 Å^2 , and the discrepancy index decreased to $R_F = 0.0598$. It was decided, however, to reposition all hydrogen atoms in calculated positions (using d(C-H) = 1.08 Å and the appropriate idealized trigonal or tetrahedral geometry). Hydrogen atoms were included with B = 6.0 Å² and were not allowed to refine while positional and anisotropic thermal parameters for all other atoms were varied. At the end of each cycle of refinement, hydrogen atoms were replaced in their newly calculated positions. [The effective "shifts" at this stage of the refinement process were, however, small.] After three such cycles, no parameter was varying by more than 1/20 of its standard deviation, and refinement was judged to be complete. A difference Fourier synthesis revealed no unexpected features but failed to locate the three hydrogen atoms associated with each methyl group. Since the other hydrogen atoms are so well defined, it is assumed that this is due to rotation of the methyl group about the Mo-CH₃ axis.

Final discrepancy indices are $R_F = 0.0582$ and $R_{wF^2} =$ 0.0461. The standard deviation for an observation of unit weight is 1.55. Throughout the analysis the scattering factors for neutral molybdenum, oxygen, carbon, and hydrogen were used.¹⁸ Dispersion corrections¹⁹ are small and were ignored. The residual minimized was $\Sigma w ||F_o|^2 - |F_c|^2|^2$.

Observed and calculated structure factors are shown in Table I; atomic positions are collected in Table II. Anisotropic thermal parameters and their associated vibration ellipsoids are given in Tables III and IV, respectively.

The Molecular Structure

Figure 1 shows the asymmetric unit viewed along b_i bond distances (with esd's) are collected in Table V and bond angles (with esd's) are shown in Table VI.



Figure 1.-The asymmetric unit of [C10H8Mo(CO)3CH3]2 projected down b. The symmetry-related C₄ (-x, -y, 1 - z)is shown as C4*.

This crystallographic analysis confirms the proposal of King and Bisnette⁵ that the molecule contains a π cyclopentadienyl-molybdenum linkage and that dimerization involves carbon-carbon coupling between seven-membered rings. Further, it is seen that this coupling involves carbon atoms ortho to the five mem-

⁽¹⁵⁾ A. D. Rae, Acta Cryst., 19, 683 (1965).

⁽¹⁶⁾ All crystallographic calculations including Fourier syntheses, structure factors calculations, least-squares refinement, geometry calculations, and atomic vibration ellipsoids were performed using CRYRM-an integrated set of crystallographic programs for the IBM 7094 written by R. E. Marsh and his co-workers at the California Institute of Technology,

⁽¹⁷⁾ $R_F = \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_0|| / \Sigma |F_0|; R_w F^2 = \Sigma w (|F_0|^2 - |F_0|^2)^2 / \Sigma w |F_0|^4.$

^{(18) &}quot;International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography," Vol. 3, The Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, pp 202, 211. (19) $\Delta f' = -1.7$ electrons, $\Delta f'' = +0.9$ electron; see ref 18, p 216.

Observed and Calculated	STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR	$[C_{10}H_8Mo(CO)_3CH_3]_{2^{4i}}$	
	itisteristist artikeristeristerister instantist ziereken ziereken ziereken ziereken zuronien zuronien zuronien ziereken zuronien ziereken zuronien ziereken zuronien zuereken ziereken ziereken zuronien zuereken zuronien zuereken zuronien zuereken ziereken zuronien zuereken zuronien zuronien zuereken zuronien zuereken zuronien zuronien zuronien zuronien zuronien zu zuronie zuronien zuronien zuronien zuereken zuereken zuereken zuroniente zuronien z		

TABLE I

7 113 9

" Table shows $10|F_{\rm o}|$ and $10F_{\rm c}$.

bered ring. Since King and Bisnette,⁵ Paul,²⁰ and ourselves each obtained a single crystalline product, it appears that the reaction is specific in producing the 4,4'-diazulene derivative.

(20) Professor I. C. Paul (University of Illinois) informs us that his group had taken preliminary crystallographic data on this complex. Their unitcell dimensions are in substantial agreement with our own.

The coordination sphere of the molybdenum atom is similar to that already found in π -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₃- $C_{3}F_{7}$,^{21,22} π - $C_{5}H_{5}MO(CO)_{3}C_{2}H_{5}$,^{23,24} π - $C_{5}H_{5}MO(CO)_{2}$ -

⁽²¹⁾ M. R. Churchill and J. P. Fennessey, Chem. Commun., 695 (1966).

⁽²²⁾ M. R. Churchill and J. P. Fennessey, Inorg. Chem., 6, 1213 (1967).

⁽²³⁾ M. J. Bennett and R. Mason, Proc. Chem. Soc., 273 (1963).

⁽²⁴⁾ M. J. Bennett, Ph.D. Thesis, Sheffield, England, July 1965.

TABLE II FINAL ATOMIC COORDINATES,^a INCLUDING CALCULATED HYDROGEN POSITIONS, FOR [C₁₀H₈Mo(CO)₃CH₃]₂

		,	· · · · · ·
Atom	x	У	z
Mo	0.29349(9)	0.17462(12)	0.19580(12)
O_1	0.5536(9)	0.3528(10)	0.0612(11)
O_2	0.2851(9)	-0.0409(12)	-0.1908(11)
O_3	0.0454(9)	0.2851(13)	0.0596(11)
C_1	0.3981(10)	0.1042(14)	0.4149(14)
C_2	0.3616(10)	-0.0392(13)	0.2752(13)
C₃	0.2184(10)	-0.0771(11)	0.2604(12)
C_4	0.0182(9)	0.0449(12)	0.4295(12)
C ₅	-0.0177(9)	0.2205(13)	0.4860(13)
C ₆	0.0468(11)	0.3597(13)	0.6062(13)
C7	0.1743(11)	0.3825(13)	0.6974(13)
C_8	0.2798(11)	0.3012(14)	0.6470(13)
C ₉	0.2808(9)	0.1618(12)	0.4892(12)
C_{10}	0.1660(9)	0.0477(12)	0.3889(12)
C11	0.4594(12)	0.2904(14)	0.1083(14)
C_{12}	0.2862(10)	0.0369(15)	-0.0502(16)
C ₁₃	0.1372(12)	0.2482(15)	0.1102(14)
C_{14}	0.3143(11)	0.4736(13)	0.3298(14)
H_1	0.5017	0.1621	0.4589
H_2	0.4311	-0.1082	0.1937
H_8	0.1586	-0.1844	0.1659
H_4	-0.0391	-0.0223	0.3104
${ m H}_5$	-0.1035	0.2515	0.4313
H_6	-0.0091	0.4631	0.6321
H_7	0.1938	0.4747	0.8228
H_8	0.3667	0.3495	0.7363

^{*a*} Numbers in parentheses are the estimated standard deviations of the coordinates and are right-adjusted to the last significant digit of the preceding number.

can be seen that the methyl group lies approximately below C_9 . The molybdenum-carbon distances vary systematically around the five-membered ring, ranging from 2.439 ± 0.009 Å for the Mo–C₉ distance to $2.286 \pm$ 0.010 Å for the Mo-C₂ distance. This variation is definitely statistically significant (the difference between the $Mo-C_9$ and $Mo-C_2$ distances represents $\sim 14\sigma$) and does not affect the planarity of the π cyclopentadienyl system (the rms deviation is only 0.008 Å). A "tilting" of the π -cyclopentadienyl system in π -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₃C₃F₇ was related previously²² to similar features in other π -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₃R systems, it being noted that in each case the longest molybdenum- π -cyclopentadienyl distance involved a carbon atom immediately above the noncarbonyl ligand. Since the shorter Mo-C distances are trans to the substituent (R) and the longer Mo-C distances are *trans* to strongly π -bonding carbonyl groups, it seems probable that an Mo-C distance for an atom in a π -cyclopentadienyl ring reflects the π character in the bond (or bonds) trans to it. However, this effect may sometimes be complicated by steric effects due to bulky ligands (e.g., when a carbonyl group is replaced by a triphenylphosphine ligand²⁵).

The Molybdenum-Methyl Linkage

The molybdenum-methyl distance of 2.383 ± 0.010 Å represents the most precise determination of a molyb-

Final Anisotropic Thermal Parameters ^a ($\times 10^4$) for $[C_{10}H_8M_0(CO)_3CH_3]_2$						
tom	b_{11}	b_{22}	b_{38}	b_{12}	b_{13}	b_{28}
Mo	79.3(1.0)	147.3(1.8)	143.3(1.7)	8.1(1.8)	23.9(1.8)	88.8(2.5)
O_1	135(11)	207(19)	263(20)	-86(23)	182(25)	53(31)
O_2	150(12)	332(24)	154(18)	36(26)	14(24)	4(34)
O_3	137(12)	378(26)	253(21)	201 (29)	-11(25)	240(37)
C1	77(12)	168(23)	201(24)	25(26)	-45(27)	126(39)
C_2	105(13)	130(21)	170(22)	82(27)	86(27)	89(36)
C ₃	122(14)	74(18)	137(19)	21(25)	29(26)	64(30)
C_4	68(11)	106(19)	135(19)	7(22)	5(23)	60(29)
C5	79(12)	161(23)	150(21)	66(27)	64(25)	161(36)
C ₆	101(13)	123(21)	164(22)	42(27)	75(28)	91(35)
C7	126(15)	123(21)	123(20)	-10(28)	58(28)	40(32)
C ₈	101 (13)	174(23)	137 (21)	-13(28)	24(27)	101(37)
C ₉	60(11)	130(19)	129(19)	10(23)	-3(23)	101(33)
C10	85(12)	111 (18)	117 (18)	20(23)	11(23)	116(30)
C11	117(15)	149(23)	157(22)	-9(30)	40 (30)	34(36)
C_{12}	79(13)	204(26)	210(28)	12(28)	30 (30)	191(45)
C ₁₃	120(16)	199(26)	145(22)	39(32)	73 (30)	104(38)
C14	108(14)	148(22)	186(23)	34(27)	92(28)	106(36)

TABLE III

^{*a*} See footnote *a* of Table II.

 $\begin{array}{l} [P(C_8H_5)_3]COCH_3,^{25} \ \pi\text{-}C_5H_5Mo(CO)_3COCF_3,^{26} \ \pi\text{-}C_5H_5-Mo(CO)_3CH_2CO_2H,^{27} \ [\pi\text{-}C_5H_5Mo(CO)_3]_2,^{28} \ \text{and} \ [\pi\text{-}C_5H_5Mo(CO)_2]_2 \{P(CH_3)_2\} \{H\}.^{29} \ \text{The relative orientations of the } Mo(CO)_3CH_3 \ \text{moiety and the five-membered ring of the } [C_{10}H_8Mo(CO)_3CH_3]_2 \ \text{molecule are shown in Figure 2, which depicits a projection of the molecule in the plane of the five-membered ring. It$

(25) M. R. Churchill and J. P. Fennessey, submitted for publication.

(26) M. R. Churchill and J. P. Fennessey, to be published.

(27) M. L. H. Green, J. K. P. Ariyante, A. M. Bjerrum, M. Ishaq, and C. K. Prout, Chem. Commun., 430 (1967).
(28) F. C. Wilson and D. P. Shoemaker, J. Chem. Phys., 37, 809 (1957).

denum-alkyl bond length yet reported. [Previous values are 2.397 \pm 0.019 Å for the Mo-C₂H₅ bond in π -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₃C₂H₅²⁴ and 2.41 \pm 0.02 Å for the Mo-CH₂CO₂H bond in π -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₃CH₂CO₂H.²⁷] This crystallographic study confirms the Mo-C single bond length which has been used as a basis for obtaining direct evidence for metal->ligand back-donation in molybdenum perfluoroalkyls^{21,22} and acyls.^{25,26} The greater rigidity of the diazulene vis á vis the π -cyclopentadienyl ligand makes it apparent that crystallographic studies on complexes of the type [C₁₀H₈Mo-

 ⁽²⁹⁾ R. J. Doedens and L. F. Dahl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 2576 (1967)

	B_{\max}	B_{med}	$B_{\mathbf{n}_{1}\mathbf{j}_{1}\mathbf{i}}$
Atom	(de's major axis)	(de's median axis)	(dc's minor axis)
Mo	4.13	3.53	2.73
	(0.622, -0.866, 0.392)	(0.091, 0.254, 0.832)	(-0.778, -0.431, 0.394)
Oı	11.23	4.88	2.37
	(0.655, -0.644, 0.678)	(-0.148, 0.619, 0.566)	(-0.741, 0.450, 0.470)
O_2	9.92	5.76	3.54
	(0.295, -0.975, 0.463)	(-0.955, -0.116, 0.114)	(-0.017, 0.190, 0.879)
O ₈	9.70	6.36	3.62
	(-0.275, -0.864, 0.040)	(-0.439, -0.026, 0.878)	(0.855, -0.503, 0.478)
C_1	5.32	4.01	2.67
	(-0.390, 0.148, 0.847)	(0.015, -0.982, 0.388)	(0.921, -0.117, 0.365)
C_2	5.21	3.41	2.59
-	(0, 669, -0.067, 0.701)	(-0.360, -0.771, 0.618)	(-0.653, 0.634, 0.356)
C ₃	4.93	3.30	1.77
Ū	(0.974, -0.226, 0.238)	(-0.225, -0.090, 0.958)	(-0.020, -0.970, 0.162)
C.	3.44	3.06	2.30
	(0.282, -0.508, 0.945)	(-0.732, 0.589, 0.327)	(-0.622, -0.629, 0.017)
C ₅	4.66	3.02	2.34
-0	(0.326, 0.511, 0.543)	(0.714, -0.787, 0.407)	(-0.620, -0.347, 0.735)
C_6	5.02	3.05	2.87
- 0	(0, 692, -0, 233, 0, 723)	(-0.437, 0.791, 0.287)	(-0.575, -0.566, 0.629)
C ₇	6.00	2.87	2.69
-,	(0.872, -0.536, 0.416)	(-0.472, -0.728, 0.536)	(-0.133, 0.429, 0.735)
C.	5.28	3.57	2.93
- 6	(0.722, -0.811, 0.202)	(0, 415, 0, 333, 0, 655)	(-0.554, -0.481, -0.730)
C ₉	3.58	2.81	2.26
- •	(-0.276, 0.687, 0.455)	(0, 228, -0, 679, 0, 890)	(-0.898, -0.259, 0.022)
C10	3.50	3.15	1.95
-10	(-0.851, 0.493, 0.264)	(0.518, 0.326, 0.586)	(-0.087, -0.807, 0.766)
C11	6.06	3.68	3 13
-11	(0, 731, -0, 684, 0, 547)	(-0.635 - 0.232 - 0.753)	(0, 249, 0, 691, 0, 365)
C ₁₂	5 77	4.36	2 77
012	(-0.172, 0.631, 0.552)	(0.522, -0.694, 0.759)	(-0.836, -0.347, 0.345)
C12	5.60	4.70	2.90
- 10	(0.760, -0.712, 0.455)	(0, 416, 0, 685, 0, 229)	(-0.499, -0.152, 0.860)
Cu	5.76	3.73	2 95
-14	(0,678,-0,317,0,743)	(-0.278, 0.860, 0.222)	(-0.680 - 0.401 - 0.632)
	(0,0,0), 0,011,01110/	(0.2.0, 0.000, 0.222)	(0.000, 0.101, 0.002)

 $Table \ IV \\ Direction \ Cosines^a \ for the Atomic \ Vibration \ Ellipsoids^b \ in \ [C_{10}H_8Mo(CO)_8CH_8]_2$

^{*a*} Direction cosines are referred to triclinic axes. ^{*b*} Root-mean-square displacements along the ellipsoid axes are presented in terms of isotropic thermal parameters, *B*, since most readers probably have more feeling for these than for the equivalent root-mean-square displacement $(u^2)^{1/2}$. The transformation is trivial: $(u^2)^{1/2} = (B/8\pi^2)^{1/2}$.



Figure 2.—The molybdenum coordination sphere. The molecule is projected onto the least-squares plane through the π -cyclopentadienyl system; numbers represent the distances (in angstroms) of the atoms from this plane.

 $(CO)_{3}R]_{2}$ (R = alkyl, fluoroalkyl, acyl, fluoroacyl, aryl, perhaloaryl) will result in more precise determinations of molybdenum-carbon bond lengths than has previously been possible with other systems. [The π -C₅H₅ group in π -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₃R systems is prone to give problems due to libration.]

The Diazulene Ligand

The diazulene ligand possesses a crystallographic center of symmetry. Within the limits of experimental error, the carbon atoms of the five-membered ring are coplanar and possess D_{3h} symmetry. [Individual bond lengths range from 1.385 ± 0.015 to 1.439 ± 0.013 Å, averaging 1.412 Å; bond angles range from 106.7 to 110.1° averaging 108.0° .] The seven-membered ring is distinctly nonplanar, owing to the tetrahedral nature of C₄. Individual deviations with respect to the plane of the five-membered ring are shown in Figure 2. Bond distances within the seven-membered ring are each in agreement with accepted values. The C(sp³) – C(sp²) bonds C₄-C₁₀ and C₄-C₅ are, respectively, 1.510 ± 0.013 and 1.509 ± 0.013 Å in length, indis-

TABLE VI

TABLE V				
Bond Lengths and Intramolecular				
	CONTACTS FOR [C1	₀ H ₈ Mo(CO) ₈	$CH_{3}]_{2}$	
Atoms	Distance, Å	Atoms	Distance, Å	
	(a) Distances from	Molybdenut	n Atom	
$Mo-C_1$	2.359 ± 0.011	$Mo-C_{12}$	1.996 ± 0.012	
$Mo-C_2$	2.286 ± 0.010	$Mo-C_{13}$	1.988 ± 0.012	
Mo-C ₃	2.313 ± 0.010	$Mo-C_{14}$	2.383 ± 0.010	
$Mo-C_{\theta}$	2.439 ± 0.009	$Mo \cdots O_1$	3.119 ± 0.008	
Mo-C ₁₀	2.391 ± 0.009	$\mathrm{Mo} \cdots \mathrm{O}_2$	3.137 ± 0.009	
$Mo-C_{11}$	2.003 ± 0.011	${ m Mo}{ m \cdots}{ m O}_3$	3.128 ± 0.009	
	(b) Distances with	in Diazulene	Ligand	
$C_{1}-C_{2}$	1.385 ± 0.015	$C_{10}-C_4$	1.510 ± 0.013	
$C_{2}-C_{3}$	$1,410 \pm 0.014$	$C_{4}-C_{5}$	1.509 ± 0.013	
$C_{3}-C_{10}$	1.415 ± 0.013	C5-C6	1.344 ± 0.014	
$C_{10} - C_{9}$	1.439 ± 0.013	C ₆ C ₇	1.441 ± 0.015	
$C_{9}-C_{1}$	1.412 ± 0.014	C7-C8	1.353 ± 0.015	
$C_4 - C_4 *$	1.561 ± 0.018	$C_8 - C_9$	1.462 ± 0.014	
	(c) Carbonyl	Bond Lengt	hs	
C ₁₁ –O ₁	1.115 ± 0.014	C13-O3	1.140 ± 0.015	
C_{12} – O_2	1.141 ± 0.015			
(d)) Contacts within the	he Mo(CO)₃C	H₃ Moiety	
$O_1 \cdots O_2$	3.903 ± 0.012	$C_{11} \cdots C_{12}$	2.506 ± 0.016	
$O_1 \cdots O_3$	5.020 ± 0.013	$C_{11} \cdots C_{13}$	3.184 ± 0.016	
$O_1 \cdots C_{14}$	3.321 ± 0.014	$C_{11} \cdots C_{14}$	2.614 ± 0.015	
$O_2 \cdots O_3$	4.038 ± 0.013	$C_{12} \cdots C_{13}$	2.567 ± 0.017	
$\mathrm{O}_2 \cdots \mathrm{C}_{14}$	5.043 ± 0.014	$C_{12}\!\cdots C_{14}$	3.325 ± 0.016	
$O_3 \cdots C_{14}$	3.345 ± 0.014	$C_{13} \cdots C_{14}$	2.607 ± 0.016	
(e) Contacts Involving π -Cyclopentadienyl Group				
(Cyclically)				
$C_1 \cdots C_{14}$	3.598 ± 0.015	$C_3 \cdot \cdot \cdot O_2$	3.838 ± 0.013	
$C_1 \cdots C_{11}$	3.323 ± 0.016	$C_3 \cdots C_{13}$	3.518 ± 0.015	
$C_1 \cdots O_1$	4.176 ± 0.014	$C_3 \cdots O_3$	4.450 ± 0.014	
$C_2\!\cdots C_{11}$	3.413 ± 0.015	$C_{10} \cdots C_{13}$	3.251 ± 0.015	
$C_2\!\cdots\!O_1$	4.309 ± 0.013	$C_{10}\!\cdots O_3$	4.100 ± 0.013	
$C_2 \cdots C_{12}$	3.052 ± 0.016	$C_{10} \cdots C_{14}$	3.770 ± 0.014	
$C_2 \cdots O_2$	3.899 ± 0.014	$C_9 \cdots C_{14}$	3.211 ± 0.014	
$C_3 \cdots C_{12}$	3.001 ± 0.015			

tinguishable from the anticipated value of 1.51 Å; bond lengths within the "butadiene" system C_{5} - $C_6-C_7-C_8$ (1.344 ± 0.014, 1.441 ± 0.015, 1.352 ± 0.015 Å) may be compared with distances obtained from an electron diffraction study of trans-buta-1,3-diene³⁰ $(1.337 \pm 0.005, 1.483 \pm 0.01, 1.337 \pm 0.005 \text{ Å});$ the C_8-C_9 linkage (1.462 ± 0.014 Å) is in the range expected for a single $C(sp)^2-C(sp^2)$ bond. Angles within the seven-membered rings are uniformly greater than the appropriate ideal sp^2 or sp^3 value. The angle C_{10} - C_4-C_5 (112.9 \pm 0.8°) is 4.4 σ above the ideal tetrahedral value of $109^{\circ} 28'$; other (sp²) angles range from 125.1 to 128.4°. These systematic increases in bond angle are presumably due to the angular requirements for a seven-membered ring system, since the internal angle required for a regular, planar heptagon is 128.6°. [Although the crystal structure of azulene is disordered,³¹ angles within the seven-membered rings of the azulene system in the azulene-1,3,5-trinitrobenzene molecular complex³² and in azulene-1,3-dipropionic

(32) A. W. Hanson, ibid., 19, 19 (1965).

	~ .					
Bond Angles within the						
	$[C_{10}H_8Mo(CO)_3C]$	$[H_3]_2$ MOLECULE				
Atoms	Angle, deg	Atoms	Angle, deg			
(a) Around the N	Aolybdenum Ato	m			
C_{11} -Mo- C_{12}	77.6 ± 0.5	$O_1 \cdots M_0 \cdots O_2$	77.2 ± 0.2			
C_{11} -Mo- C_{13}	105.8 ± 0.5	$O_1 \cdots M_0 \cdots O_3$	106.9 ± 0.2			
C_{11} -Mo- C_{14}	72.6 ± 0.4	$O_1 \cdots M_0 \cdots C_1$	72.9 ± 0.3			
C_{12} -Mo- C_{13}	80.2 ± 0.5	$O_2 \cdots M_O \cdots O_3$	80.3 ± 0.2			
C_{12} -Mo- C_{14}	131.6 ± 0.4	$O_2 \cdots M_0 \cdots C_1$	131.5 ± 0.3			
C_{13} -Mo- C_{14}	72.6 ± 0.4	$O_3 \cdots M_0 \cdots C_1$	73.3 ± 0.3			
C_1 -Mo- C_2	34.7 ± 0.4	C_{10} -Mo- C_{θ}	34.6 ± 0.3			
C_2 -Mo- C_3	35.7 ± 0.4	C_9 -Mo- C_1	34.2 ± 0.3			
C_{3} -Mo- C_{10}	35.0 ± 0.3					
	(b) Carbo	onyl Groups				
$M_0 - C_{11} - O_1$	178.3 ± 1.0	$M_{0}-C_{13}-O_{3}$	177.8 ± 1.1			
$M_{O}-C_{12}-O_{2}$	178.5 ± 1.1					
(c) Angles within the Diazulene Ligand						
CC.	110.1 ± 0.9	CCC.	112.9 ± 0.8			
$C_{g} C_{1} C_{2}$	107.3 ± 0.9		112.9 ± 0.8 127.9 ± 0.9			
$C_1 C_2 C_3$	109.0 ± 0.0 109.1 ± 0.9	$C_4 C_3 C_6$	127.0 ± 0.0 128.3 ± 1.0			
$C_2 = C_3 = C_{10}$	106.7 ± 0.8	$C_{1} = C_{1} = C_{1}$	128.0 ± 1.0 128.4 ± 1.0			
	106.7 ± 0.0	$C_{0} = C_{0} = C_{0}$	125.1 ± 1.0			
	100.1 ± 0.0	$C_{8} - C_{9} - C_{10}$	127.8 ± 0.9			
$C_{1}-C_{9}-C_{8}$	125.3 ± 0.9	$C_{9}-C_{10}-C_{4}$	126.5 ± 0.8			
$C_3 - C_{10} - C_4$	126.2 ± 0.9					
C5-C4-C4*	109.7 ± 1.0					
$C_{10} - C_4 - C_4 *$	109.8 ± 1.0					

acid³³ are found to vary from 127.15 to 129.47° and from 125.9 to 130.2° , respectively.]

Discussion

The results of this present study, combined with evidence obtained from previous crystallographic analyses of azulenediiron pentacarbonyl,^{6–7} azulenedi-molybdenum hexacarbonyl^{8–9} and guaiazulenedi-molybdenum hexacarbonyl,⁸ help to put the chemistry of azulene-metal-carbonyl complexes on a more systematic basis. The reaction of a transition metal carbonyl with azulene under the usual, rather drastic, conditions (*i.e.*, prolonged refluxing in solvent at $\sim 140^{\circ}$) may be thought of as involving the following steps.

(i) The initial step in the reaction is the utilization of the five-membered ring to give a pseudo- π -cyclopentadienyl complex (III-V).



(ii) If the metal has already attained an 18-electron configuration (*i.e.*, if the analogous π -C₅H₅M(CO)_x is a stable, diamagnetic monomer), no metal-metal bond can be formed, and subsequent processes must affect the seven-membered ring. There would appear to be two main reaction pathways: (a) The radical may dimerize *via* a carbon-carbon bond (as in the structure here reported). The stereochemistry of dimerization (33) H. L. Ammon and M. Sundaralingam, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **88**, 4794 (1966).

⁽³⁰⁾ A. Almenningen, O. Bastiansen, and M. Traettenberg, Acta Chem. Scand., 12, 1221 (1958).

⁽³¹⁾ J. M. Robertson, H. M. M. Shearer, G. A. Sim, and D. G. Watson, Acta Cryst., 15, 1 (1962).

will depend on the relative energies for localization of an electron on the *ortho* and *para* positions. Qualitatively, localization at the *ortho* position would seem to be the more probable, since it conserves a conjugated butadiene system. [Although the stereochemistry of the $[C_{10}H_8Mo(CO)_3CH_3]_2$ molecule is established as involving *ortho-ortho* coupling, no definitive information is yet available on $[C_{10}H_8V(CO)_4]_2^4$ or $[C_{10}H_8Mn (CO)_3]_2.^3$] (b) The five available carbon atoms in the seven-membered ring may combine with a further metal carbonyl residue, to form a *trans* product such as VI³⁴ or VII. [A *cis* product is unlikely since it would



require the metal atoms to be within bonding distance.]

(34) We have recently prepared a complex which mass spectrometric analysis indicates to be C₁₉H₈Mn₂(CO)₆. We expect this to have structure VI. NOTE ADDED IN PROOF.—This has now been confirmed (P. H. Bird and M. R. Churchill, *Chem. Commun.*, in press). (iii) If the metal atom in the pseudo- π -cyclopentadienyl complex (III-V) has only a 17-electron configuration, a metal-metal bond will be formed and a second metal carbonyl residue will be linked to the sevenmembered ring via a π -allyl (VIII) or π -pentadienyl (IX) linkage. The reason why azulenediiron (I) contains a π -allyl-metal linkage whereas azulenedimolyb-



denum hexacarbonyl (II) has a π -pentadienyl-metal bond is not fully understood. It may be due to the 3d orbitals of the iron atom being too small to overlap effectively with all five atoms in the prospective π pentadienyl system, or to the intrinsic stability of the Fe(CO)₃ system *per se*. It is hoped that current experiments involving the isoelectronic azulene-ruthenium-carbonyl system may clarify this problem.

Acknowledgments.—This work has been generously supported by the Advanced Research Projects Agency (Grant No. SD-88).

Contribution from the Noves Chemical Laboratory and Materials Research Laboratory, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801

Crystal Structure of a Binuclear Vanadyl(IV) Anion. Tetrasodium Divanadyl(IV) *d*-Tartrate *l*-Tartrate Dodecahydrate¹

BY ROBERT E. TAPSCOTT, R. LINN BELFORD, AND IAIN C. PAUL

Received June 26, 1967

The crystal structure of the sodium salt of divanadyl(IV) *d*-tartrate *l*-tartrate dodecahydrate (Na₄[VO-*dl*-C₄H₂O₆]₂·12H₂O) has been determined by Fourier methods, and the positional and anisotropic thermal parameters of the atoms were refined by least-squares methods on three-dimensional photographic data. The crystals are orthorhombic, space group Pbca, with a = 19.85, b = 10.76, and c = 12.28 A. The measured density is 1.85 g/cm^3 while that calculated for eight formula units of Na₂[VOC₄H₂O₆]·6H₂O is 1.858 g/cm^3 . The tetranegative dimeric anions contain two VO²⁺ ions bridged by a *d*-tartrate and an *l*-tartrate group which have lost all of the carboxyl and hydroxyl hydrogen atoms to give tetranegative tartrate ions. The vanadium atoms are situated in a normal square-pyramidal coordination site in contrast to the distorted trigonal-bipyramidal coordination found in (NH₄)₄[VO-*d*-C₄H₂O₆]₂·2H₂O. The vanadium–vanadium distance is 4.082 A. The final *R* factor is 0.10 for 2629 nonzero reflections.

Introduction

Recently, we reported² the characterization and comparison of the two different complexes of vanadyl(IV)

(1) Supported by Advanced Research Projects Agency Contract SD-131, through the Materials Research Laboratory at the University of Illinois, and U. S. Public Health Service Grant No. GM 12470-03 and a predoctoral fellowship awarded to R. E. T., through the Institute of General Medical Sciences. obtained with *d*-tartaric and racemic tartaric acids. Arguing purely from aqueous solution evidence and molecular models, we postulated structures for these two isomers consisting of two vanadyl(IV) ions bridged by two tartrate anions which have lost the protons from

(2) R. E. Tapscott and R. L. Belford, Inorg. Chem., 6, 735 (1967).